PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D	3	1	MAR 2006
WIPO		_	PCT

Applicant's or agent's file reference P27103PC00	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/IB2004/051459	International filing date (day/month/year) 13.08.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 14.08.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC H01L31/032, H01L31/18, H01L21/00				
Applicant RAND AFRIKAANS UNIVERSITY ET AL.				
 This report is the International preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 				
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a. sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).				
	beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the			
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).				
4. This report contains indications re	4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
☐ Box No. II Priority				
☐ Box No. III Non-establishm	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability		
-				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited				
	in the international application			
☐ ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observe	ations on the international application			
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of	this report		
04.10.2005	22.12.2005			
Name and mailing address of the Internation	nal Authorized Officer	Authorized Officer		
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office - Gits D-10958 Berlin Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840	Voignier, V	25901-760		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/051459

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 1) Reference is made to the following document:
 - D1: OHASHI D ET AL: "Improved CIGS thin-film solar cells by surface sulfurization using In2S3 and sulfur vapor" SOLAR ENERGY MATERIALS AND SOLAR CELLS, ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS, AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 67, no. 1-4, March 2001 (2001-03), pages 261-265, XP004224769 ISSN: 0927-0248
 - D2: TURCU M. ET AL: "Composition dependance of defect energies and band alignments in the Cu(ln_(1-x)Ga_x)(Se_(1-y)S_y)2 alloy system" JOURNAL OF APPLIED PHYSICS, vol. 91, no. 3, 1 February 2002 (2002-02-01), pages 1391-1399, XP002334413
- 2.1) The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 (see D1, fig. 3, and section 3. "Results and Discussion") discloses a quaternary or penternary alloy (Cu(In,Ga)(Se,S)2) whose X ray diffraction patterns have a main [112] peak at a 2 theta angle of 27° for an incidence angle of the X-ray comprised between 0.1° and 10° (since the 2 theta angle has the same value, 27°, for an incidence of 0.1°, 1° and 10° it is clear for the skilled person that the 2 theta angle is also 27° for the intermediate incidence angles), which is the subject-matter of claim 1. The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not new and this claim is not allowable under Art. 33(1) and (2) PCT.
- 2.2) The subject-matter of dependent claims 2-4, 6-12 and 33-37 is also disclosed by document D1, therefore these claims add nothing new to the subject-matter of claim 1 from which they depend.
- 3) The specific compositions of the alloy given in claims 5 and 13-32 are considered as standard for the skilled person (see for example document D2, fig. 2 and 6) and do not add anything inventive to the subject-matter of claim 1 from which they depend (Article 33(3)

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/051459

PCT).

A 12 . P

- 4) Furthermore, the above-mentioned lack of novelty notwithstanding, the application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 17-20, 27-29 are not clear. The GIXRD pattern is not a physical property of the alloy itself, but the result of a characterisation measurement conducted on a film made of this alloy. Such definition of the corresponding technical feature is unclear, rendering difficult a meaningful comparison of the technical features claimed with the available prior art, and are not allowable under Art. 6 PCT.
- 5) All the claim meet the PCT requirement in regard with industrial applicability.

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 1, 2, 6-12, 16-22, 26-31 and 37 are not clear.

These claims do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claims attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of a result to be achieved without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result: the technical features of the alloy of the invention are given in terms of the GIXRD pattern, the variance in d-spacing, the range in which the bandgap can be shifted, or the efficiency of a solar cell using the alloy. Such definitions of the technical features are unclear, rendering difficult a meaningful comparison of the technical features claimed with the available prior art, and are not allowable under Art. 6 PCT.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/051459

	Вох	x No. I Basis of the report	
 With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 			s report is based on the international application in the language in which it was under this item.
		This report is based on trans which is the language of a tr	slations from the original language into the following language , anslation furnished for the purposes of:
		☐ international search (und ☐ publication of the international preliminary of the international search (und ☐ publication of the internation of the interna	er Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) tional application (under Rule 12.4) examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2.	hav	h regard to the elements* of ve been furnished to the recei ort as "originally filed" and are	the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which ving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this e not annexed to this report):
	Des	scription, Pages	
	1-60	0	as originally filed
	Clai	ims, Numbers	
	1-37	7	as originally filed
	Dra	wings, Sheets	
	1/28	3-28/28	as originally filed
		a sequence listing and/or an	y related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3. The amendments ha		The amendments have resu	lited in the cancellation of:
		☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.	
☐ th		☐ the drawings, sheets/figs	
		☐ the sequence listing (spe ☐ any table(s) related to se	
4.	□ had Sup	This report has been establi d not been made, since they h pplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)	shed as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the).
		☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.☐ the drawings, sheets/figs☐ the cognition (on)	
		☐ the sequence listing (spe ☐ any table(s) related to se	
	*	If itom 4 applies so	ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/051459

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

5,13-32

No: Claims

1-4,6-12,33-37

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-37

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-37

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet





Deutsche Post | IRANIII 0,20 D

10%) Todada 12 Todad

REC'D 2 8 DEC 2005

LUFTPOST PRIORITAIRE |
Briefe zum Kiloteriii

Reçu par OMPI
28 DEC 2005
Received by WIPO

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 2 5 JUL 2005

WIPO	PC"

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/IB2004/051459

International filing date (day/month/year) 13.08.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

14.08.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

H01L31/032, H01L31/18

Applicant

To:

RAND AFRIKAANS UNIVERSITY

Ι.	inis opinion	contains	indications	relating to	the foll	owing items	3:

Box No. I

Basis of the opinion

☐ Box No. II

Priority

☐ Box No. III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

☐ Box No. IV

Lack of unity of invention

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or Industrial

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

☐ Box No. VI

Certain documents cited

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2 **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin

Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840 Voignier, V

Telephone No. +49 30 25901-760



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/051459

Box No. I Basis of the oninion				
- The spinion				
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. 				
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
a. type of material:				
☐ a sequence listing				
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing				
b. format of material:				
☐ in written format				
☐ in computer readable form				
c. time of filing/furnishing:				
□ contained in the international application as filed.				
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional appropriate, were furnished.				
Additional comments:				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IB2004/051459

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-37

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-37

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No:

Claims

1-37

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

- 1) Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: OHASHI D ET AL: "Improved CIGS thin-film solar cells by surface sulfurization using In2S3 and sulfur vapor" SOLAR ENERGY MATERIALS AND SOLAR CELLS, ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS, AMSTERDAM, NL, vol. 67, no. 1-4, March 2001 (2001-03), pages 261-265, XP004224769 ISSN: 0927-0248
 - D2: TURCU M. ET AL: "Composition dependance of defect energies and band alignments in the Cu(ln_(1-x)Ga_x)(Se_(1-y)S_y)2 alloy system" JOURNAL OF APPLIED PHYSICS, vol. 91, no. 3, 1 February 2002 (2002-02-01), pages 1391-1399, XP002334413
- 2.1) The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document D1 (see D1, fig. 1 and 3, and section 3. "Results and Discussion") discloses a penternary alloy (Cu(In,Ga)(Se,S)2) and a quaternary alloy (Cu(In,Ga)Se2) whose X ray diffraction patterns have a main [112] peak at a 2 theta angle of respectively 27.2° and 26.9°, which is the subject-matter of claim 1.

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore not new and this claim is not allowable under Art. 33(1) and (2) PCT.

- 2.2) The subject-matter of independent claim 1 is also disclosed by document D2 (see D2, passages cited in the search report). Although the properties of the XRD pattern of the Cu(In,Ga)(Se,S)2 film of D2 are not specifically given, it is assumed that since the film is of the same composition as the film disclosed in D1, and has a similar crystallinity (polycrystalline), its XRD pattern will have the same properties. Therefore the subject-matter of claim 1 is also not considered as not new in regard with document D2.
- 2.3) The subject-matter of dependent claims 1-23 and 33-37 is also disclosed by document D1, therefore these claims add nothing new to the subject-matter of claim 1

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/051459

from which they depend.

Furthermore, the subject-matter of dependent claims 2-37 is disclosed by document D2, therefore these claims add nothing new to the subject-matter of claim 1 from which they depend.

3) Furthermore, the above-mentioned lack of novelty notwithstanding, the application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT, because claims 1, 2, 6-12, 16-22, 26-31 and 37 are not clear.

These claims do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The claims attempt to define the subject-matter in terms of a result to be achieved without providing the technical features necessary for achieving this result: the technical features of the alloy of the invention are given in terms of the XRD pattern, the variance in d-spacing, the range in which the bandgap can be shifted, or the efficiency of a solar cell using the alloy. Such definitions of the technical features are unclear, rendering difficult a meaningful comparison of the technical features claimed with the available prior art, and are not allowable under Art. 6 PCT.

4) All the claim meet the PCT requirement in regard with industrial applicability.